

Gila Preparatory Academy

Tom Horne, Superintendent of Public Instruction

ARIZONA SCHOOL REPORT CARD ACADEMIC YEAR 2006-07

1976 Thatcher Blvd., Safford, AZ 85546

Gila Educational Group dba Gila Preparatory Academy

AZ LEARNS¹

High School Achievement Profile (a)

2005-06 Performing Plus

2004-05 Performing

2003-04 Not Evaluated

(a) For additional information, please refer to Achievement Profiles Page near end of document. Arizona's report cards have been revised to include requirements in the federal No Child Left Behind Act, such as Adequate Yearly Progress and the disaggregation of student level data into required subgroups.

School Overview

Principal/Administrator : Mrs. Kathy Jerome Maxwell

Schedule: 07:30 AM to 04:00 PM

Grades: 9-12

Web Address:

Phone Number : (928) 348-8688 Fax Number : (928) 348-8877

E-mail: gilaprepacademy@yahoo.com

Mission

Together, the staff, students, and parents of GPA create a nurturing environment for the intellectual, creative, social, and physical development of students. This nurturing takes place in a positive, cooperative setting. Students internalize life-long learning as modeled by staff and parents. We envision our positive contributions to the future.

No Child Left Behind

Adequate Yearly Progress (b)

2005-06 Met

2004-05 Met

2003-04 Met

School Improvement Status (b)

2005-06 N/A

2004-05 N/A

2003-04 N/A

(b) For additional information, please refer to the AYP page in this report card.

School / Academic Goals

- Ü Students will have the opportunity to learn and progress at a pace commensurate to their ability level while participating in interdisciplinary activities that are designed to meet state standards.
- Ü Students will learn, experience, and have the opportunity to apply the skills and attributes of responsible citizens by helping to create and maintain a safe and orderly environment.
- Ü Students will internalize life-long learning as modeled by staff and parents.
- Ü Students leave prepared to meet their future; to live a life with simple but unchangeable truths, devoid of apathy and despair, filled with hope, success, and happiness. They will be equipped with the ability to change themselves and the world.

Enrollment

October 1, 2005 School Year Student Enrollment: 70

Accepting New Students in 2005-06 Under Open Enrollment Law : Yes Number of Students Attending Under Open Enrollment in 2005-06 : 72

Gila Preparatory Academy

	Instructional Programs
ü	Choir
ü	Acting
ü	Career Exploration
ü	Character Counts
ü	Learning Styles
ü	Computer Technology
ü	Strings

Calendar Information

Number of Instruction Days: 149

Average Daily Instruction Time: 7 hours 10 minutes

First Day of School: 8/8/2005 Last Day of School: 5/25/2006

Shared Responsibilities

School

Gila Preparatory Academy staff assures parents that their students will have a learning environment that is safe and stimulating. The individual student's academic needs will be addressed. Discipline will be appropriate to the situation. The staff will be responsive and accessible.

Parents

Parents of Gila Preparatory Academy students will encourage their student to follow school rules, complete work in a timely fashion, and show respect for themselves and those around them.

Transportation Policy

Gila Preparatory Academy is responsible for transporting high school students from the school to Eastern Arizona College campus where they attend. Parents are responsible for transporting their student to and from school each day.

School Honors	
Awards or Special Recognition Received By the School	ol, Staff or Students
Award/Honor	Year
Ü Distinguished Teacher for Graham County	2002
ü Scholarships to Eastern Arizona College	2005
ü Scholarship to University of Arizona	2005

Arizona's Instrument to Measure Standards (AIMS) Results 2005-06 3

10th Grade

Mathematics	#	[‡] Teste	ed	%	Teste	ed		MSS		%	6 FFB			% A		%	6 Met		% Ex	xcee	ded
Matromatios	S	D	ΑZ	S	D	ΑZ	S	D	ΑZ	S	D	ΑZ	S	D	ΑZ	S	D	ΑZ	S	D	ΑZ
All Students	19	19	71130	100	100	95	661	661	701	63	63	23	16	16	13	21	21	51	NA	NA	14
All Students (Prior Year)																					
Female	11	11	35465	92	92	96	668	668	702	45	45	21	18	18	13	36	36	53	ΝĀ	NA	13
Male	NC	NC	35648	NC	NC	94	NC	NC	701	NC	NC	24	NC	NC	12	NC	NC	50	NC	NC	14
African American			3868			95			686			33			17			45			6
Hispanic	NC	NC	25103	NC	NC	95	NC	NC	685	NC	NC	34	NC	NC	16	NC	NC	45	NC	NC	5
Asian/Pacific Islander			1805			98			731			9			7			50			34
American Indian/Alaskan Native			4241			90			679			39			19			39			3
White	13	13	36075	100	100	95	666	666	715	54	54	12	23	23	9	23	23	58	ΝĀ	NA	21
Students with Disabilities			5862			71			658			63			15			20			2
Students without Disabilities	19	19	65268	100	100	98	661	661	705	63	63	19	16	16	12	21	21	54	ΝĀ	NA	15
Limited English Proficient Students			4859			93			662			64			15			20			1
Migrant Students			786			95			681			38			18			41			4
Economically Disadvantaged			22957			93			685			34			17			44			5
Non-Economically Disadvantaged	19	19	48173	100	100	96	661	661	709	63	63	17	16	16	11	21	21	55	ΝĀ	NA	18

Reading	#	Teste	ed	%	Teste	ed		MSS		%	6 FFB			% A		%	6 Met		% E	xcee	ded
	S	D	ΑZ	S	D	ΑZ	S	D	ΑZ	S	D	ΑZ	S	D	ΑZ	S	D	ΑZ	S	D	ΑZ
All Students	18	18	73018	100	100	97	667	667	703	17	17	6	39	39	23	44	44	64	NA	NA	8
All Students (Prior Year)																					
Female	11	11	36181	100	100	97	679	679	708	9	9	4	27	27	21	64	64	65	ÑΑ	NA	9
Male	NC	NC	36816	NC	NC	96	NC	NC	699	NC	NC	7	NC	NC	24	NC	NC	62	NC	NC	7
African American			3976			96			689			8			29			59			3
Hispanic	NC	NC	25801	NC	NC	96	NC	NC	683	NC	NC	10	NC	NC	34	NC	NC	53	NC	NC	3
Asian/Pacific Islander			1812			98			722			3			15			66			16
American Indian/Alaskan Native			4389			93			675			9			42			47			1
White	12	12	37024	100	100	97	678	678	721	8	8	2	42	42	12	50	50	73	ÑΑ	NA	13
Students with Disabilities			7170			85			654			23			47			29			1
Students without Disabilities	18	18	65848	100	100	98	667	667	708	17	17	4	39	39	20	44	44	67	ÑĀ	NA	9
Limited English Proficient Students			5099			95			641			29			59			12			0
Migrant Students			817			96			667			15			44			39			1
Economically Disadvantaged			23912			94			681			10			36			52			2
Non-Economically Disadvantaged	18	18	49106	100	100	98	667	667	714	17	17	4	39	39	16	44	44	69	NA	NA	11

Writing	#	# Teste	ed	%	Teste	ed		MSS		(% FFE	3		% A		9,	% Me	t	% E	xcee	ded
······································	S	D	ΑZ	S	D	ΑZ	S	D	ΑZ	S	D	ΑZ	S	D	ΑZ	S	D	ΑZ	S	D	ΑZ
All Students	18	18	72810	100	100	96	636	636	685	17	17	6	72	72	30	11	11	58	NA	NA	6
All Students (Prior Year)																					<u> </u>
Female	11	11	36111	100	100	97	654	654	695	9	9	4	73	73	23	18	18	65	ÑΑ	NA	8
Male	NC	NC	36678	NC	NC	95	NC	NC	674	NC	NC	9	NC	NC	36	NC	NC	52	NC	NC	3
African American			3962			96			675			8			33			55			3
Hispanic	NC	NC	25735	NC	NC	96	NC	NC	669	NC	NC	10	NC	NC	41	NC	NC	48	NC	NC	2
Asian/Pacific Islander			1809			97			704			4			19			65			13
American Indian/Alaskan Native			4370			92			670			9			39			50			2
White	12	12	36915	100	100	97	633	633	697	8	8	3	83	83	21	8	8	67	ÑΑ	NA	8
Students with Disabilities			7071			84			634			24			53			21			1
Students without Disabilities	18	18	65739	100	100	98	636	636	689	17	17	4	72	72	27	11	11	62	NA	NA	6
Limited English Proficient Students			5046			94			621			31			56			12			0
Migrant Students			812			96			654			15			51			34			0
Economically Disadvantaged			23814			94			667			10			41			47			2
Non-Economically Disadvantaged	18	18	48996	100	100	97	636	636	693	17	17	4	72	72	24	11	11	64	ŇĀ	NA	7

NC = Not Calculated (less than 10 students) Dashes (--) = No Data Available NA = Not Applicable S = School D = District

Recent Trends in Student Proficiency on the State Standards (AIMS Test)

10th Grade Proficiency



The graph includes the percentage of students that met or exceeded the standards. NC = Not Calculated. Under the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act of 1974 (FERPA), no individually identifiable references to students may be made. Therefore, items of data containing information about fewer than ten (10) students have been replaced with NC.

ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS (AYP) FOR SCHOOL YEAR 2005-06

The table below shows the schools that met or did not meet based on federal AYP requirements. To meet AYP for the year, all applicable goals must be met.

	Met Percent Tested?	Υ
	Met Test Objectives?	Υ
AYP Determination	Met Attendance Rate?	NA
	Met Graduation Rate?	Υ
	Made AYP?	Yes

Glossary:

Adequate Yearly Progress

A measure of school performance as mandated by the federal government in the No Child Left Behind. AYP holds schools accountable for the performance of subgroups, as well as all students. AYP measures school toward the goal of having 100 percent of all students proficient in state standards for reading and math by 2013-14.

Met Percent Tested:

The school or district tested at least ninety-five percent (95%) of its students enrolled at the time of the test administration. Schools and districts must test at least 95 percent of their student in order to make AYP.

Met Test Objectives:

The percentage of students in the school or district that demonstrate proficiency in state standards by passing the AIMS test meets or exceeds the goal for that year. No Child Left Behind requires that Arizona set goals, called Annual Measurable Objectives, for the percentage of students passing AIMS for all public schools and districts in the state. The goals increase over time toward 100 percent passing by 2014. To meet the test objectives, every subgroup in the school must meet the Annual Measurable Objective.

Met Attendance Rate:

The school or district had an attendance rate of at least 90 percent over the first 100 days of the academic year. Schools and districts not serving high school students must have an attendance rate of 90 percent, or show a one-percentage-point improvement over the previous year, in order to make AYP.

Met Graduation Rate:

The school or district had a four-year graduation rate of 71 percent or greater. School or districts granting a high school diploma must have a graduation rate of 71 percent, or show a one-percentage-point improvement over the previous year, in order to make AYP.

For More Information on AYP, visit our website at www.ade.az.gov/azlearns and go to NCLB link

Achievement Test Results

Stanford 9 and TerraNova/AIMS DPA

		:	2003-200	04 (SAT9	?)	200	04-2005	(TerraN	ova)	2005-2006 (TerraNova)					
Grade	Content Area	%	Score	D	AZ	%	Score	D	AZ	%	Score	D	ΑZ		
	Reading	95	17	NA	42	91	46	46	51	84	39	39	52		
9	Language	100	16	16	42	91	45	45	50	84	35	35	50		
	Mathematics	100	34	34	63	91	33	33	50	84	25	25	50		

Starting in Spring 2004-05, students were tested using AIMS DPA and TerraNova. AIMS DPA is a statewide assessment that is both standards-based, measuring student's knowledge against the Arizona Academic Standards, and norm-referenced, comparing student knowledge against students nationwide. TerraNova was administered to all students in grades 2 and 9. It is important to note the TerraNova/AIMS DPA and Stanford 9 test results are not comparable. For more information on the TerraNova and AIMS DPA, see our website at www.ade.az.gov/standards/

The Stanford 9 is a standardized, norm-referenced test. Since 1999, all students in grades 2 through 9 have been tested in Reading, Mathematics and Language. The percentage (%) of eligible students tested and the school's percentile ranks are listed above. State average percentile ranks for Arizona and District are provided for comparison. Note that the average percentile ranks for the nation are 50 for all content areas in all grades. Under the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act of 1974 (FERPA), no individually identifiable references to students may be made. Therefore, items of data containing information about fewer than ten students have been replaced with NC (not calculated) to protect student privacy. Some columns contain dashes (- -) to indicate "no data available."

ila Preparatory Academy				
		Site Council		
Council Composition			Council D	uties
2 School Administrator(s)			olicy Decisions	
0 Non-certified Employee	e(s)		affing	
0 Teacher(s) 0 Parent(s)			te Issues sciplinary Appeals	
3 Community Member(s)		ų Di	scipiiiai ў Арреаіs	
0 Student(s)				
	taffing Information	for School Y	ear 2005-06	
Position	Number		sition	Number
Administrator	1.40	Tea	acher	4.00
Other Professional Staff	.35	Tea	acher Aide	.00
Years	of Teaching Exper	ience for Scho	ool Year 2005-06	
Experience	Bachelor's	Master's	Doctorate	Other
3 or fewer years	0	1	0	0
4 to 6 years	2	0	0	0
7 to 9 years	0	0	0	0
			0	0
10 or more years	0 lighly Qualified (NC	0 CLB) School Ye		Ü
Н	lighly Qualified (NC	CLB) School Ye		J. T.
Fore academic classes taught by Highly Qu	lighly Qualified (NC	CLB) School Ye	ear 2004-05	· ·
	lighly Qualified (NCualified (NCualified (NCLB) teache	CLB) School Ye	ear 2004-05 21	· ·
Fore academic classes taught by Highly Quarters with Emergency Certification. Percent of teachers in the school with Em	lighly Qualified (NCLB) teacher along the design of the de	CLB) School Years. Sertification	ear 2004-05 21 0	C .
ore academic classes taught by Highly Queachers with Emergency Certification. ercent of teachers in the school with Em	lighly Qualified (NCLB) teacher along the design of the de	CLB) School Ye	ear 2004-05 21 0 0% 76%	
ore academic classes taught by Highly Queachers with Emergency Certification. ercent of teachers in the school with Emercent of core classes not taught by High	lighly Qualified (NC ualified (NCLB) teached ergency/Provisional Co aly Qualified Teachers Resources Ava	CLB) School Ye	ear 2004-05 21 0 0% 76%	
ore academic classes taught by Highly Queachers with Emergency Certification. ercent of teachers in the school with Emercent of core classes not taught by High	lighly Qualified (NC ualified (NCLB) teached ergency/Provisional Co aly Qualified Teachers Resources Ava	CLB) School Years. Sertification illable at School	ear 2004-05 21 0 0% 76%	
ore academic classes taught by Highly Queachers with Emergency Certification. ercent of teachers in the school with Emercent of core classes not taught by High	lighly Qualified (NCLB) teachers arguments (NCLB) teachers arguments (NCLB) teachers (NCLB) te	ers. Eertification illable at School	ear 2004-05 21 0 0% 76% pool Site	
ore academic classes taught by Highly Queeachers with Emergency Certification. ercent of teachers in the school with Emercent of core classes not taught by Highlight Computer Lab Library	lighly Qualified (NCLB) teachers arguments (NCLB) teachers arguments (NCLB) teachers (NCLB) te	CLB) School Years. Sertification illable at School	ear 2004-05 21 0 0% 76% pool Site	
Fore academic classes taught by Highly Queenchers with Emergency Certification. Fercent of teachers in the school with Emercent of core classes not taught by Highlight Computer Lab Ü Library Ü Student Council	lighly Qualified (NCLB) teachers arguments (NCLB) teachers arguments (NCLB) teachers (NCLB) te	ers. Eertification illable at School	ear 2004-05 21 0 0% 76% pool Site	
Core academic classes taught by Highly Queenchers with Emergency Certification. Percent of teachers in the school with Emercent of core classes not taught by Highlight Computer Lab Ü Library Ü Student Council Ü Relay for Life	lighly Qualified (NCLB) teachers arguments (NCLB) teachers arguments (NCLB) teachers (NCLB) te	ers. Eertification illable at School	ear 2004-05 21 0 0% 76% pool Site	
Core academic classes taught by Highly Queenchers with Emergency Certification. Percent of teachers in the school with Emercent of core classes not taught by Highlight Computer Lab Computer Lab Computer Lab Student Council Relay for Life	lighly Qualified (NCLB) teachers arguments (NCLB) teachers arguments (NCLB) teachers (NCLB) te	ers. Eertification illable at School	ear 2004-05 21 0 0% 76% pool Site	
ore academic classes taught by Highly Queachers with Emergency Certification. Bercent of teachers in the school with Emercent of core classes not taught by High Computer Lab Library Student Council Relay for Life	lighly Qualified (NCLB) teachers arguments (NCLB) teachers arguments (NCLB) teachers (NCLB) te	ers. Eertification illable at School	ear 2004-05 21 0 0% 76% pool Site	
ore academic classes taught by Highly Ore academic classes taught by Highly Ore eachers with Emergency Certification. Hercent of teachers in the school with Emercent of core classes not taught by Highly Computer Lab Library Student Council Relay for Life Community Awareness Project	lighly Qualified (NCLB) teachers ergency/Provisional Conly Qualified Teachers Resources Ava Special	ers. Eertification illable at School	ear 2004-05 21 0 0% 76% pool Site	
Fore academic classes taught by Highly Queen academic classes taught by Highly Queen academs with Emergency Certification. Forcent of teachers in the school with Emercent of core classes not taught by Highlight Computer Lab Ü Computer Lab Ü Student Council Ü Relay for Life Ü Community Awareness Project	lighly Qualified (NCLB) teachers ergency/Provisional Conly Qualified Teachers Resources Ava Special	ers. Certification Cal Facilities	ear 2004-05 21 0 0% 76% pool Site	
Core academic classes taught by Highly One eachers with Emergency Certification. Percent of teachers in the school with Emergency of the school with Emergency of the school with Emergency of teachers and the school with Emergency of the school with Emergency of teachers and the school with Emergency of teachers and taught by High Computer Lab Ü Computer Lab Ü Student Council Ü Relay for Life Ü Community Awareness Project	lighly Qualified (NCLB) teachers ergency/Provisional Conly Qualified Teachers Resources Ava Special	ers. Certification Cal Facilities	ear 2004-05 21 0 0% 76% pool Site	
Core academic classes taught by Highly Queen academic classes taught by Highly Queen academs with Emergency Certification. Percent of teachers in the school with Emergence of core classes not taught by Highlight Computer Lab Ü Computer Lab Ü Student Council Ü Relay for Life Ü Community Awareness Project	lighly Qualified (NCLB) teachers ergency/Provisional Conly Qualified Teachers Resources Ava Special	ers. Certification Cal Facilities	ear 2004-05 21 0 0% 76% pool Site	

Indicators of Success Based on Historical Data from 2005-06

School Achievements/Accomplishments 2005-06

- Ü Students participated in various activities throughout the year that augmented the lessons learned in the classroom: field trips, choir concerts, string recitals, and a play presented by the drama class.
- Ü Several high school students successfully completed classes at the local junior college. Representative classes were in english, math, computers, automotive technology, photography, and law enforcement.
- Ü Student Council officers and class officers planned and executed many highly successful activities throughout the school year.
- Ü The strings students participated in a county-wide strings concert. They also played the processional and recessional at the eighth grade promotion and high school graduaion.

Student Activity Rates for School Year 2005-06

			Arizona	
	% School	% K-6/UE	% 7-8	% 9-12/US
Attendance Rate 4	88	95	94	95
Promotion Rate 5	77	89	88	73
Graduation Rate ⁶	100	NA	NA	81

NA = Graduation rate does not apply to K-8.

K-6/UE - Kindergarten to Grade 6 including Ungraded Elementary (UE).

9-12/US - 9th grade to 12th grade including Ungraded Secondary (US).

School Safety

School-level Efforts to Ensure a Safe and Healthy Learning Environment

We have implemented a behavior code that has three distinct components. Bullying, profanity/vulgarity, and insubordination are deemed '0' tolerance behaviors. Violation of these will result in swift, appropriate consequences. The consequences for breaking other school rules will be apropriate to the student and the situation. The code stresses changing behavior not just controlling behavior. It places responsibility for behavior on the student.

Total number of incidents that occurred on the school grounds for school yea	r
2005-06 that required the intervention of local, state or federal law	
enforcement (A.R.S.15-746.6):	

6

The Arizona Attorney General's Office has a hotline that allows students to report suspicious activities at schools and to get help with potentially violent situations, such as bullying, harassment, hate crimes, discrimination and gangs. The toll-free number, 1-877-900-1086, is anonymous and available 24 hours a day.

The Arizona Department of Education does not audit the information submitted by each school.

Contacts

	Name	Phone Number
School Site Council	Kathy Maxwell	(928) 348-8688
Transportation Policy	Emily Valtierra	(928) 348-8688
Community Resources	Kathy Grimes	(928) 428-4550
School Nutrition Programs	Sherli Skinner	(928) 348-8688
Parent Organization		
Student Health/Nurse	Emily Valtierra	(928) 348-8688

The Arizona School Report Card was prepared by the Arizona Department of Education using a standardized format to combine information provided by the local school with data compiled from state records. Each school has special strengths and needs, and all schools benefit from the active involvement of parents in their children's education. If you have questions about the report card or need more information, contact the school office. Arizona School Report Cards can be found for all schools at www.ade.az.gov/srcs on the Internet. "The Arizona Department of Education of the State of Arizona does not discriminate on the basis of race, religion, color, national origin, sex, disability or age in its programs, activities or in its hiring and employment practices. If you have questions or grievances related to this policy, please contact the Administrative Services DAS at (602) 542-3186."

ACHIEVEMENT PROFILES

Arizona's Achievement Profiles capture the performance level of every school.

DEFINITIONS:

Failing to Meet the Academic Standards - needs to meet state performance and state progress goals.

School performance has been designated as Underperforming for three consecutive years and a site review determined that the designation of Failing to Meet the Academic Standards was warranted.

Underperforming - needs to meet state performance and state progress goals.

Performing - meets state performance goals.

Performing Plus - above state performance goals, however the number of students exceeding the standard on the AIMS test is not sufficient to earn a highly performing or excelling label.

Highly Performing - above state performance goals or has demonstrated adequate improvement, in addition a significant number of students have exceeded the standard on the AIMS test.

Excelling - significantly above state performance goals, in addition a significant number of students have exceeded the standard on the AIMS test.

TITLE I TERMS

Title I School Improvement Year 1

A Title I school that has not made adequate yearly progress (AYP) for two consecutive years. Upon identification, the school must: notify parents/legal guardians of the school status; develop and implement a School Improvement Plan within 90 days of the identification; set aside 10% of the schools Title I funds for professional development for teachers and the principal; the district must offer parents the option of transfer and support to the school in its school improvement efforts.

Title I School Improvement Year 2

A Title I school that has not made adequate yearly progress (AYP) for three consecutive years. Upon identification, the school must: notify parents/legal guardians of the school status; develop and implement a School Improvement Plan within 90 days of the identification; set aside 10% of the schools Title I funds for professional development for teachers and the principal; the district must offer parents the option of transfer and offer supplemental educational services to eligible students, and offer support to the school in its school improvement efforts.

Title I School Improvement Year 3 or Corrective Action

A Title I school that has not made adequate yearly progress (AYP) for four consecutive years. Upon identification, the school must: notify parents/legal guardians of the school status; develop and implement a School Improvement Plan within 90 days of the identification; set aside 10% of the schools Title I funds for professional development for teachers and the principal; the district must offer parents the option of transfer and offer supplemental educational services to eligible students, and offer support to the school in its school improvement efforts. In addition, the school must choose and implement at least one of six corrective actions. See Section 1116(b)(7) of NCLB for a list of the corrective action options.

Title I School Improvement Year 4 or Restructuring (Planning Phase)

A Title I school that has not made adequate yearly progress (AYP) for five consecutive years. Upon identification, the school must: notify parents/legal guardians of the school status; develop and implement a School Improvement Plan within 90 days of the identification; set aside 10% of the schools Title I funds for professional development for teachers and the principal; the district must offer parents the option of transfer and offer supplemental educational services to eligible students, and offer support to the school in its school improvement efforts. In addition, the school must prepare a restructuring plan and make necessary arrangements to carry out one of three restructuring activities. See Section 1116(b)(8) of NCLB for a list of the restructuring activities.

Title I School Improvement Year 5 or Restructuring (Implementation Phase)

A Title I school that has not made adequate yearly progress (AYP) for six consecutive years. Upon identification, the school must: notify parents/legal guardians of the school status; develop and implement a School Improvement Plan within 90 days of the identification; set aside 10% of the schools Title I funds for professional development for teachers and the principal; the district must offer parents the option of transfer and offer supplemental educational services to eligible students, and offer support to the school in its school improvement efforts. In addition, the school must prepare a restructuring plan and make necessary arrangements to carry out one of three restructuring activities. See Section 1116(b)(8) of NCLB for a list of the restructuring activities.

Gila Preparatory Academy

Footnotes

- 1 For an explanation of the Achievement Profiles, please visit our website at www.ade.az.gov/azlearns.
- 2 Under ARS 15-816, school district governing boards must implement open enrollment programs and establish policies covering admission criteria, application procedures and transportation provisions. For more information, contact the school district office.
- 3 Arizona's Instrument to Measure Standards (AIMS) includes the reading, writing and mathematics subject areas. The proficiency levels include: Falls Far Below (FFB) the standard, Approaches (A) the standard, Meets or Met (M) the standard and Exceeds (E) the standard. MSS = Mean Scaled Score. The federal law, No Child Left Behind, requires disaggregation in subgroups and ethnicity. NC= Not Calculated. Under the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act of 1974 (FERPA), no individually identifiable references to students may be made. Therefore, items of data containing information about fewer than ten (10) students have been replaced with NC. For more information on testing and Arizona Academic Standards go to http://www.ade.az.gov/AIMS/default.asp
- 4 Attendance Rate: Percentage of students attending the first 100 days of the academic year.
- 5 Promotion Rate: Percentage of students promoted to the next grade or who met graduation requirements and received a traditional diploma at the end of the 2004-05 school year. Percentage includes students who left school at age 22 or who had completed school and received a non-traditional diploma.
- 6 Graduation Rate: The graduation rate presented here is a 5 year cohort rate for the class of 2004. For more information on the Graduation Rate Study published by the ADE, please see our website at www.ade.az.gov/researchpolicy/grad.

Printed in Phoenix, Arizona, by the Arizona Department of Education. December 2006

Total cost of printing: 12 Pages X .0243 Per page X 25 Copies = \$7.00

^{**} If total cost of printing = \$0.00, the school elected to only provide the electronic version of the report card.

^{**} Due to booklet size printing, print copies are produced in multiples of 4.